



Chapter 10

How do we measure and monitor forest degradation?

Daniel Murdiyarto, Margaret Skutsch, Manuel Guariguata, Markku Kanninen, Cecilia Luttrell, Pita Verweij and Osvaldo Stella Martins

10.1 Why REDD has two D's

Forest degradation is a major source of greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs). In the Brazilian Amazon forest, degradation is responsible for 20% of total emissions (Asner *et al.* 2005). In Indonesia, the forest stock is decreasing by 6% a year and forest degradation is responsible for two thirds of this, whereas deforestation is responsible for only a third (Marklund and Schoene 2006). In Africa the annual rate of forest degradation is almost 50% of the annual rate of deforestation (Lambin *et al.* 2003).

In 2007, the Thirteenth Conference of the Parties (COP 13) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) acknowledged the importance of forest degradation by making it part of the proposed mechanism for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD). Addressing degradation has other important benefits. Less degradation will mean that forests will have higher capacity to adapt to climate change and to provide more and better ecosystem and livelihood services.

Often, the driving forces for forest degradation and deforestation are different. Also, degradation is not necessarily a precursor to deforestation. Forests can remain degraded for a long time and never become completely deforested. So, addressing deforestation does not automatically reduce rates of forest degradation. In addition, failing to include degradation in a REDD agreement would mean that considerable amounts of forest-based emissions would not be accounted for. For example, if a healthy primary forest with a crown cover of 70% degraded to a state where it only had a crown cover of 15%, it would still be classified as ‘forest’ and the increase in emissions from degradation would not be accounted for.

This chapter focuses on the methods used to measure and monitor forest degradation. It complements and elaborates on Chapter 9, which focuses on both Ds – deforestation and forest degradation. The methods to measure and monitor forest degradation are discussed in terms of effectiveness in accounting for emissions, cost efficiency, and international equity issues. The discussion takes account of differing country circumstances.

10.2 Definition and causes of forest degradation

As adopted at COP 9 in 2003, forest degradation is defined as ‘direct human-induced long-term loss (persisting for X years or more) of at least Y% of forest carbon stocks (and forest values) since time (T) and not qualifying as deforestation’ (IPCC 2003a). However, reaching agreement on an operational procedure for monitoring, reporting and verifying (MRV) forest degradation has been problematic (Penman 2008). This is because X (human-induced long-term loss), Y (% of forest carbon stocks) and the minimum area of forest to be measured are difficult to define. Each factor is influenced by the activities causing degradation and the ecology of the particular forest.

Common activities causing forest degradation in the tropics include (GOFC-GOLD, 2008):

- Selective logging
- Large-scale and open forest fires
- Collecting non-timber forest products and wood for fuel
- Producing charcoal, grazing, subcanopy fires and shifting cultivation

Apart from selective logging, there have been few analyses of the impacts of these activities on the loss of forest biomass and how long forests need to regenerate. Further, almost all studies have focused on humid tropical forests. However, extracting fuelwood from dry forests often causes more degradation than commercial timber harvesting (Skutsch and Trines 2008). This is important

since dry forests are generally more heavily populated than rainforests. While the carbon content of dry forests is much lower than that of humid forests, dry forests account for 42% of tropical forests (Murphy and Lugo 1986).

10.3 Methods for estimating emissions from forest degradation

The IPCC (2003b) identifies five carbon pools that should be monitored to estimate emissions from deforestation and forest degradation: aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic carbon. The most practical method of estimating emissions is to monitor only aboveground biomass. However, degradation processes such as logging and burning can significantly influence emissions from other carbon pools such as dead wood and litter.

The IPCC (2003b) also provides three tiers for carbon accounting. Each tier requires more data and more complex analyses and, therefore, is more accurate:

- Tier 1 applies default emission factors (indirectly estimates emissions based on the loss of canopy cover) to data on forest activities ('activity data') that are collected nationally or globally
- Tier 2 applies country specific emission factors and activity data
- Tier 3 applies methods, models and inventory measurement systems that are repeated over time, driven by high resolution activity data and disaggregated subnationally at a fine scale

Monitoring, reporting and verifying (MRV) deforestation and degradation has two components: (i) monitoring changes in forest area by forest type; and (ii) monitoring average carbon stocks per unit area and forest type (carbon densities) (IPCC 2003b). Thus, the simplest approach (Tier 1) keeps track of changes in the area of each category of forest, and calculates carbon stocks in each forest category using global default values for carbon densities. In Tier 2, the accuracy improves because carbon densities are estimated using country specific data instead of global default values. In Tier 3, models and inventories are tailored to the particular country and repeated over time. Thus Tier 3 also measures changes in carbon densities within the accounting period.

Changes in forest area can be monitored by remote sensing, at least in part, or by systematic forest inventories. Inventories need to be based on a sample large enough to detect significant changes in forest area by forest type. Monitoring forest degradation (i.e. the change from intact forest to disturbed forest) by remote sensing is much more challenging than monitoring deforestation. Deforestation is easily detected by remote sensing, particularly when it occurs

on a large scale. However, it is much more difficult to detect degradation because remote sensing does not clearly show, for example, the removal of a few trees (selective logging) or loss of undergrowth (by fire) or disappearance of branches and small trees (for fuelwood). These activities have little effect on the canopy cover but can affect the forest stock significantly (DeFries *et al.* 2007). Even with high resolution optical imagery it is hard to detect changes under the canopy: advanced methods such as radar, which do have this potential, are currently only available in small areas.

One way of dealing with this problem is to use a probabilistic approach. This involves stratifying forest by risk of degradation, based on past trends and proxy variables such as accessibility (e.g. density of roads, distance from settlements) (Schelhas and Sanchez-Azofeifa 2006). The parameters in the models would be different for different types of degradation activities (e.g. selective logging, collecting fuelwood) (Iskandar *et al.* 2006).

Changes in average carbon stocks per unit area per forest type can be monitored by various methods. These include making use of secondary datasets and estimates from IPCC (2003b), as well as carrying out *in situ* forest inventories and monitoring sample plots. To measure changes in carbon stocks caused by forest degradation, IPCC (2006) recommends two methods: the stock-difference method and the gain-loss method (see Figure 9.1).

The *stock-difference* method builds on traditional forest inventories to estimate sequestration or emissions. The *gain-loss* method builds on an understanding of the ecology of forests: how forests grow, and how natural or anthropogenic processes produce carbon losses. The stock-difference method measures the actual stock of biomass in each carbon pool at the beginning and end of the accounting period. The gain-loss method estimates biomass gains as mean annual increment (MAI) in biomass minus estimated biomass losses from activities such as timber harvesting, logging, collecting fuelwood and overgrazing, as well as from fire. If the forest is stratified into areas subject to different kinds of degradation, and these are well understood, it may be possible, for example, to estimate the quantity of wood products extracted in a given period quite accurately.

Table 10.1 compares the stock-difference method with the gain-loss method. Both methods could be used for assessing forest degradation in IPCC Tiers 2 and 3. The choice of method will depend largely on what data are available and what resources are needed to collect additional data (GOFC-GOLD 2008). Countries experiencing significant forest degradation may wish to develop their own national and local databases and models in order to use the gain-loss method to estimate changes in different carbon pools. Estimates by Hardcastle and Baird (2008) suggest that adding degradation to the Tier 3 reporting set-up would cost the Democratic Republic of the Congo an additional 10%,

Indonesia an additional 11% and Brazil an additional 13%. The percentage increases in recurrent costs would be similar. However, these calculations assume that these countries are already reporting in Tier 3 and will therefore have robust sampling systems (covering a minimum of 3% of land surface and 6 strata) in place.

Table 10.1. Comparison of stock-difference and gain-loss methods for estimating emissions from different types of degradation

Type of degradation	Stock-difference method	Gain-loss method
Selective logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legal harvesting usually requires measurement of biomass after harvesting, thus necessary data should be available • Illegal harvesting would require additional data collection • Data on undisturbed forest can be used as a proxy if pre-harvesting data for particular sites is not available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses estimates of MAI and centralised records on timber extraction activities • Reliability depends on honesty of timber companies in reporting rates of extraction
Large-scale forest fires	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference data from undisturbed forest can be used for pre-fire biomass, but forest inventory would be needed to measure post-fire biomass 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Losses due to fire can be estimated from the area burned. Emission factors can be used to estimate emissions based on the biomass lost
Harvesting of fuel wood and non-timber forest products	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-harvesting biomass levels could be estimated from typical levels in undisturbed forest. But, in practice, much of the forest subject to these uses will already be partially degraded at the start of the accounting period • In areas already under individual or community management, pre- and post-period forest inventories can be carried out by forest users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data on losses, e.g. registers of commercial wood-based products, estimates of fuelwood use, may be available • Fuelwood off-take could also be calculated using population and data on average household fuelwood consumption • Data on gains are available from standard MAI statistics
Subcanopy fire, grazing and shifting cultivation (using forest for agricultural production)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-harvesting biomass levels could be estimated from typical levels in undisturbed forest. But, most forest subject to these changes will already be partially degraded at the start of the accounting period • Communities can measure changes. This can help establish local 'ownership' of the process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data on gains are available from standard MAI statistics • Data on losses are rarely available in national statistics

10.4 Cost implications for countries

The cost of measuring and monitoring forest degradation depends on the circumstances in each country, such as:

- The extent of forest cover
- The level of forest stratification (for example, the Democratic Republic of the Congo has only one major forest type whereas Indonesia and Mexico have four or more forest ecotypes)
- The tier of carbon accounting applied

Countries' forests are at different points on the forest transition curve (Figure 10.1), reflecting the changes in agriculture and forest rents over time (Angelsen 2007). As a result, degradation is a more critical issue in some countries than in others. For example, some countries may have halted deforestation but may still be losing biomass from the forests that remain. Thus, the state of a country's forests will influence to what extent it invests in forest degradation accounting systems and which measurement and monitoring option it chooses.




Figure 10.1. Stages in forest transition (adapted from Angelsen 2007)

Forest transition theory identifies four stages in the transition of forests. Countries can be grouped into four categories according to the stage their forests fall in:

1. Countries and regions with **low deforestation and high forest cover** such as the Congo Basin and Guyana – Here, forests are relatively undisturbed, but may be subject to increasing deforestation and degradation in the

future. These countries and regions are likely to be the most interested in accounting for forest degradation because they are less likely to benefit from ‘avoiding deforestation’, at least if reference levels are based on historical deforestation. In these countries with intact forests, the stock-difference method with stratified sampling would be the most cost-efficient way of carbon accounting. Proxies could be used if there is no data from before logging or other human interventions (Table 10.1). Countries with large logging concessions could use the gain-loss method cost effectively because the basic data for Tier 2 type of reporting would be available. These countries could be motivated to account for degradation by the expectation that they could obtain financial support to do so.

2. Countries with **high deforestation** such as (parts of) Brazil, Indonesia, and Ghana that have large tracts of forest with high deforestation rates (forest frontiers) – These countries have a strong incentive to engage in deforestation accounting. Unless it requires little additional effort, they are less likely to have a significant interest in accounting for forest degradation. However, excluding forest degradation from national REDD schemes (especially where selective logging predominates) might lead to considerable leakage. These countries would most likely prefer to use the gain-loss method for the same reasons as countries in Category 1 with large logging concessions.
3. Countries with **low deforestation and low forest cover** characterised by forest mosaics and stable forest areas – In these countries, deforestation rates have levelled off, either because forests have already been largely cleared or because they have strong forest protection policies. India may fall in this category and, as indicated in their 2008 submission to the UNFCCC, they may be interested in reducing degradation, probably in combination with forest conservation, afforestation and reforestation, and other schemes to enhance forest carbon stocks. These countries could use the stock-difference method in Tier 2. As site-specific data becomes more widely available and cost effective they could progress to Tier 3.
4. Countries with **increasing forest cover** such as China and Vietnam – These countries may not be very interested in accounting for forest degradation unless a REDD agreement includes ‘enhancing’ carbon stocks (Chapter 2). However, even though new plantations may increase the forest area in these countries, the existing forests may be simultaneously degrading. Countries may prefer to present their success in increasing the area of forest plantations as afforestation/reforestation (A/R) under the Clean Development Mechanism. Whether or not this happens depends on whether or not A/R is integrated into a REDD agreement. Because they may have records of forest management going back some time, these countries may have databases that can provide historical reference scenarios, enabling them to adopt the stock-difference method in Tier 3.

10.5 Conclusion

Forest degradation is more complicated to define, monitor, report and verify (MRV) than deforestation (IPCC 2003a). More proxy factors need to be used. But IPCC stock-difference and gain-loss methodologies (IPCC 2006) and tiers (IPCC 2003b) are useful for carbon accounting in forest degradation. Where data is limited, simple methods, default values (Tier 1), and proxies can be used to account for emissions from different kinds of degradation. The uncertainties inherent in simpler approaches mean that credits would need to be 'discounted'. This would be a direct incentive for countries to upgrade their measuring and monitoring methods.

Overcoming the challenges posed by carbon accounting in forest degradation by using the IPCC stock-difference and gain-loss methodologies, and tiers, means that forest degradation could realistically be included in a REDD agreement. This would make REDD more effective because it would account for a wider range of forest greenhouse gas emissions. The international equity of the REDD mechanism would also improve because a wider range of countries, many of them in Africa, would be encouraged to participate. It is, therefore, important that decisions on the MRV framework for degradation allow for a diversity of circumstances. This can be done by allowing countries flexibility in designing, developing and applying carbon accounting methods for forest degradation.

References

- Achard, F., Belward, A.S., Eva, H.D., Federici, S., Mollicone, D. and Raes, F. 2005 Accounting for avoided conversion of intact and non-intact forests. Technical options and a proposal for a policy tool. Joint Research Centre of the European Commission.
- Achard, F., DeFries, R., Herold, M., Mollicone, D., Pandey, D. and de Souza, C. 2008 Guidance on monitoring of gross changes in forest area. Chapter 3 *In*: GOFC-GOLD. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries: a sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring, measuring and reporting. GOFC-GOLD Report version COP 13-2. GOFC-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resources Canada, Alberta, Canada.
- Alvarado, L., Rubio, X. and Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S. 2007 Why are we seeing 'REDD'? An analysis of the international debate on reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries. Institut du Développement Durable et des Relations Internationales (IDDRI), Paris.
- Angelsen, A., and Kaimowitz, D. 1999 Rethinking the causes of deforestation: Lessons from economic models. *World Bank Research Observer* 14 (1): 73-98.
- Angelsen, A. 2007 Forest cover change in space and time: Combining von Thünen and the forest transition. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4117. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Anger, N. and Sathaye, J. 2008 Reducing deforestation and trading emissions: Economic implications for the post-Kyoto market. Discussion Paper No. 08-016. Center for European Economic Research, Mannheim, Germany.
- Asner, G.P., Knapp, D.E., Broadbent, E.N., Oliveira, P.J.C., Keller, M. and Silva, J.N. 2005 Selective logging in the Brazilian Amazon. *Science* 310 (5747): 480-482.
- Aukland, L., Costa, P.M. and Brown, S. 2003 A conceptual framework and its application for addressing leakage: the case of avoided deforestation. *Climate Policy* 3 (2): 123-136.
- Blanco, J. and Forner, C. 2000 Special considerations regarding the 'expiring CERs' proposal. International Forum on Enhancement of Japan's Private Sector's Overseas Re-afforestation Cooperation, Ministerio del Medio Ambiente de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia.

- Börner, J. and Wunder, S. 2008 Paying for avoided deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon: From cost assessment to scheme design. *International Forestry Review* 10 (3): 496-511.
- Balmford, A. and Whitten, T. 2003 Who should pay for tropical conservation, and how could the costs be met? *Oryx* 37 (2): 238-250.
- Brown, D. and Peskett, L. 2008 International forest policy: Integrated climate and forestry policy options. Policy Department A: Economic and Scientific Policy, DG Internal Policies, European Parliament, Brussels.
- Brown, K., Adger, W.N., Boyd, E., Corbera-Elizalde, E. and Shackley, S. 2004 How do CDM projects contribute to sustainable development? Tyndall Centre Technical Report No. 16. Tyndall Centre, Norwich. http://www.tyndall.ac.uk/research/theme2/final_reports/it1_13.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Brown, S., Hall, M., Andrasko, K., Ruiz, F., Marzoli, W., Guerrero, G., Masera, O., Dushku, A., de Jong, B. and Cornell, J. 2007 Baselines for land-use change in the tropics: Application to avoided deforestation projects. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12 (6): 1001-1026.
- Brown, S. and Braatz, B. 2008 Methods for estimating CO₂ emissions from deforestation and forest degradation. Chapter 5 *In*: GOF-C-GOLD. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries: a sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring, measuring and reporting. GOF-C-GOLD Report version COP 13-2. GOF-C-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resources Canada, Alberta, Canada.
- Bruijnzeel, L.A. 2004 Hydrological functions of tropical forests: not seeing the soil for the trees? *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 104 (1): 185-228.
- Byron, N. and Arnold, M. 1999 What future for the peoples of the tropical forests? *World Development* 27 (5):789-805.
- Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M.A., Chambers, J.Q., Eamus, D., Fölster, H., Fromard, F., Higuchi, N., Kira, T., Lescure, J.P., Nelson, B.W., Ogawa, H., Puig, H., Riéra, B. and Yamakura, T. 2005 Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. *Oecologia* 145 (1): 87-99.
- Chomitz, K.M. 2000 Evaluating carbon offsets from forestry and energy projects: How do they compare? World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2357. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Chomitz, K.M., Buys P., de Luca, G., Thomas, T.S. and Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S. 2006 At loggerheads? Agricultural expansion, poverty reduction, and environment in the tropical forests. Policy Research Report. World Bank. Washington. DC. <http://go.worldbank.org/KVK3ZDK510> (26 Nov. 2008).

- CISDL (Centre for International Sustainable Development Law) and GPPI (Global Public Policy Institute) 2007 A carbon stock approach to creating a positive incentive to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation. Joint submission to the UNFCCC on reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries. 23 February.
- Colfer, C.J.P. and Capistrano, D. (eds.) 2005 The politics of decentralization: Forests, power, and people. Earthscan, London.
- Colchester, M. 2008 Beyond tenure: Rights-based approaches to peoples and forest areas: Some lessons from the Forest Peoples Programme. FPP and RRI: Moreton-in-Marsh.
- Colchester, M. and Ferrari, M. 2007 Making FPIC work: Challenges and prospects for indigenous peoples. FPIC Working Papers, Forest Peoples Program.
- Convention on Biological Diversity, 1760 UNTS 79; 31 ILM 818 (1992).
- Convention concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (ILO No. 169), 72 ILO Official Bull. 59; 28 ILM 1382 (1989).
- Convention on Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, GA Res. 34/180, 34 UN GAOR Supp. (No. 46) at 193, UN Doc. A/34/46; 1249 UNTS 13; 19 ILM 33 (1980).
- Corbera, E. 2005 Bringing development into carbon forestry markets: Challenges and outcomes of small-scale carbon forestry activities in Mexico. *In: Murdiyarsa, D. and Herawati, H. (eds.) Carbon Forestry: Who will benefit?* p. 42-56. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Correa, R. and Moreno, L. 2007 Keeping ITT crude underground: the proposal. Ministry of External Affairs, Commerce and Integration, Quito.
- Cosbey, A., Murphy, D., Drexhage, J. and Balint, J. 2006 Making development work in the CDM: Phase II of the Development Dividend Project. IISD, Winnipeg, Canada.
- da Fonseca, G.A.B., Rodríguez, C.M., Midgley, G., Busch, J., Hannah, L. and Mittermeier, R.A. 2007 No forest left behind. *PLoS Biology* 5 (8): 1645-1646.
- Decision 1/CP.13. Bali Action Plan, FCCC/CP/2007/6/Add.1.
- Decision 2/CP.13. Reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries: approaches to stimulate action, FCCC/CP/2007/6/Add.1.
- DeFries, R., Achard, F., Brown, S., Herold, M., Murdiyarsa, D., Schlamadinger, B. and de Souza Jr., C. 2006. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation in developing countries: Considerations for monitoring and measuring. Global Terrestrial Observing System (GTOS), Rome.
- DeFries, R., Achard, F., Brown, S., Herold, M., Murdiyarsa, D., Schlamadinger B. and de Souza, C. Jr. 2007 Earth observations for estimating greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation in developing countries. *Environmental Science and Policy* 10 (4): 385-394.

- de Jong, B., Bazán, E.E. and Montalvo, S.Q. 2007 Application of the 'Climafor' baseline to determine leakage: the case of Scolel Té. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12 (6): 1153-1168.
- Dutschke, M. 2002 Fractions of permanence - Squaring the cycle of sink carbon accounting. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 7 (4): 381-402.
- Dutschke, M. 2007 CDM forestry and the ultimate objective of the Climate Convention. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12 (2): 275-302.
- Dutschke, M. 2008 The climate stabilization fund – Global auctioning of emission allowances to help forests and people. *Climate 2008/Klima 2008*, Scientific Online Climate Conference. www.climate2008.net (25 Nov. 2008).
- Dutschke, M. and Michaelowa, A. 2006 Development assistance and the CDM - how to interpret 'financial additionality'. *Environment and Development Economics* 11 (2): 235-246.
- Dutschke, M. and Wolf, R. 2007 Reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries. The way forward. *GTZ Climate Protection Programme*, Eschborn, Germany. 29p.
- Ebeling, J. and Yasue, M. 2008 Generating carbon finance through avoided deforestation and its potential to create climatic, conservation and human development benefits. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society for Biological Sciences B*, 363 (1498): 1917-1924.
- ECJRC (European Commission Joint Research Centre) 2003 The global land cover map for the year 2000. GLC2000 database, European Commission Joint Research Centre.
- EC (European Commission) 2008 Addressing the challenges of deforestation and forest degradation to tackle climate change and biodiversity loss. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Com (2008) 645/3. Brussels.
- Eggleston, S. 2008 Overview of relevant methodologies in IPCC Guidelines and Good Practice Guidance. Presentation at the UNFCCC workshop on Methodological Issues relating to Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries. Tokyo, 24-27 June. http://unfccc.int/files/methods_and_science/lulucf/application/pdf/080625_tokyo_eggleston_ipcc.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Eliasch J. 2008 Eliasch Review – Climate change: Financing global forests. UK Office of Climate Change www.occ.gov.uk/activities/eliasch.htm (25 Nov. 2008).
- Enkvist, P.A., Nauclér, T. and Rosander, J. 2007 A cost curve for greenhouse gas reduction. *McKinsey Quarterly* 2007 (1): 35-45.

- Euroactiv 2008 Brussels pushing for forests in global climate deal. Euroactiv, 20 October, Brussels, Belgium. <http://www.euractiv.com/en/environment/brussels-pushing-forests-global-climate-deal/article-176474> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Fearnside, P.M. 2000 Uncertainty in land use change and forestry sector mitigation options for global warming: Plantation silviculture versus avoided deforestation. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 18 (6): 457-468.
- Fearnside, P.M., Lashof, D.A. and Moura-Costa, P. 2000 Accounting for time in mitigating global warming through land-use change and forestry. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 5 (3): 239-270.
- Fearnside, P.M. 2002 Time preference in global warming calculations: a proposal for a unified index. *Ecological Economics* 41 (1): 21-31.
- Fischlin, A., Midgley, G.F. 2007 Ecosystems, their properties, goods, and services. *In*: Parry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden, P.J. and Hanson, C.E. (eds.) *Climate change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 211-272. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Fisher, B., Nakicenovic, N., Alfsen, K., Corfee Morlot, J., de la Chesnaye, F., Hourcade, J.-C., Jiang, K., Kainuma, M., La Rovere, E., Matysek, A., Rana, A., Riahi, K., Richels, R., Rose S. and van Vuuren, D., Warren, R. 2007 Issues related to mitigation in the long term context. *In*: Metz, B., Davidson, O.R., Bosch, P.R., Dave, R. and Meyer, L.A. (eds.) *Climate change 2007: Mitigation of climate change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Foti, J., de Silva, L., Werksman, J., Shaffer, L., Talbot, J. and McGray, H. 2008 *Voice and choice: Opening the door to environmental democracy*. World Resources Institute.
- Gan, J. and McCarl, B. 2007 Measuring transnational leakage of forest conservation. *Ecological Economics* 64 (2): 423-432.
- Gibbs, H.K., Brown, S., O'Niles, J. and Foley, J.A. 2007 Monitoring and estimating forest carbon stocks: Making REDD a reality. *Environmental Resource Letters* 2 (2007): 045023 (13pp).
- GOFC-GOLD 2008 *Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries: a sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring, measuring and reporting*, GOFC-GOLD Report version COP 13-2. GOFC-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resources Canada, Alberta, Canada.
- Grieg-Gran, M. 2008 *The cost of avoiding deforestation*. IIED, London: 20. <http://www.iied.org/pubs/pdfs/G02290.pdf> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Hamilton, K., Bayon, R., Turner, G. and Higgins, D. 2007 *State of the voluntary carbon markets 2007: Picking up steam*. The Ecosystem Marketplace and New Carbon Finance, Washington, DC.

- Hamilton, K., Sjardin, M., Marcello, T. and Xu, G. 2008 Forging a frontier: State of the voluntary carbon markets 2008. Ecosystem Market Place and New Carbon Finance, San Francisco and London.
- Hansen, M.C., Stehman, S.V., Potapov, P.V., Loveland, T.R., Townshed, J.R.G., DeFries, R.S., Pittman, K.W., Arunarwati, B., Stolle, F., Steininger, M.K., Carroll, M. and DiMiceli, C. 2008 Humid tropical forest clearing from 2000 to 2005 quantified by using multitemporal and multiresolution remotely sensed data. *PNAS* 105 (27): 9439-9444.
- Hardcastle, P.D. and Baird, D. 2008 Capability and cost assessment of the major forest nations to measure and monitor their forest carbon. Office of Climate Change. LTS International, Penicuik, UK. <http://www.occ.gov.uk/activities/eliasch.htm> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Hare, B. and Macey, K. 2007 Tropical deforestation emission reduction mechanism (TDERM): A discussion paper. Greenpeace International, Amsterdam, Netherlands. 52p. <http://www.greenpeace.org/raw/content/international/press/reports/TDERM-full.pdf> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Hoare, A., Legge, T., Nussbaum, R. and Saunders, J. 2008 Estimating the cost of building capacity in rainforest nations to allow them to participate in a global REDD mechanism. Chatham House and ProForest, UK. http://www.occ.gov.uk/activities/eliasch/Chatham_House_cost_of_building_capacity.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Hughes, R. and Flintan, F. 2001 Integrating conservation and development experience: a review and bibliography of the ICDP literature. International Institute for Environment and Development, London, UK. 24p. http://www.ucc.ie/famine/GCD/ICDP_sec.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- INPE 2004 Monitoramento ambiental da Amazonia por satelite. Brazilian Institute for Space Research. <http://www.obt.inpe.br/prodes/> (25 Nov. 2008).
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, GA Res. 2200A (XXI), 21 UN GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at 49, UN Doc. A/6316 (1966); 993 UNTS 3; 6 ILM 368 (1967).
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, GA Res. 2200A (XXI), 21 UN GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at 52, UN Doc. A/6316 (1966); 999 UNTS 171; 6 ILM 368 (1967).
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) 2003 Good practice guidance on land use, land-use change and forestry, prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Eggleston, H.S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T. and Tanabe, K. (eds.). Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Japan.
- IPCC 2003a Definitions and methodological options to inventory emissions from direct human-induced degradation of forests and revegetation of other vegetation types. Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. and Wagner, F. (eds.), IPCC-IGES, Kanagawa.

- IPCC 2003b Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry (GPG-LULUCF). Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. and Wagner, F. (eds.), IPCC-IGES, Kanagawa. http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpplulucf/gpplulucf_contents.html (25 Nov. 2008).
- IPCC 2006 IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories, prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Eggleston, H.S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T. and Tanabe, K. (eds.). Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Japan. <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html> (25 Nov. 2008).
- IPCC 2006 Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories – volume 4: Agriculture, land use and forestry (GL-AFOLU). <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Iskandar, H., Snook, L., Toma, T., MacDicken, K. and Kanninen, M. 2006 A comparison of damage due to logging under different forms of resource access in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 237 (1-3): 83-93.
- Jakeman, G. and Fisher, B.S. 2006 Benefits of multi-gas mitigation: an application of the Global Trade and Environment Model (GTEM), multi-gas mitigation and climate policy. *The Energy Journal* 27 (3): 323-342.
- Kanowski, J.J., Catterall, C. and Wardell-Johnson, G.W. 2005 Consequences of broadscale timber plantations for biodiversity in cleared rainforest landscapes of tropical and subtropical Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 208 (1-3): 359-372.
- Karousakis, K. 2007 Incentives to reducing emissions from deforestation: Lessons learned from Costa Rica and Mexico. OECD, Paris. 50p.
- Khan, M. 2006 State failure in developing countries and strategies of institutional reform. http://www.gdnet.org/pdf2/online_journals/cerdi/issue2_3/Khan_paper1.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Kindermann, G., Obersteiner, M., Sohngen, B., Sathaye, J., Andrasko, K., Ramesteiner, E., Schlamadinger, B., Wunder, S. and Beach, R. 2008 Global cost estimates of reducing carbon emissions through avoided deforestation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105 (30): 10302-10307.
- Korhonen L., Korhonen, K.T., Rautiainen, M. and Stenberg, P. 2006 Estimation of forest canopy cover: a comparison of field measurement techniques. *Silva Fennica* 40 (4): 577-588. www.metla.fi/silvafennica/full/sf40/sf404577.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Kurosawa, A. 2006 Multi-gas mitigation: an economic analysis using the GRAPE model. *The Energy Journal* 27 (3): 275-288.
- Lambin, E.F., Geist, H.J. and Lepers, E. 2003 Dynamics of land-use and land-cover change in tropical regions. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources* 28: 205-241.
- Larson, A. and Ribot, J. 2007 The poverty of forestry policy: Double standards on an uneven playing field. *Sustainability Science* 2 (2): 189-204.

- Leach, P. 2008 Carbon sunk? The potential impacts of avoided deforestation credits on emissions trading mechanisms. The Rainforest Foundation, London. http://www.rainforestfoundationuk.org/Carbon_Sunk (25 Nov. 2008).
- Lecocq, F. and Chomitz, K.M. 2001 Optimal use of carbon sequestration in a global climate change strategy: Is there a wooden bridge to a clean energy future? World Bank Development Research Group Infrastructure and Environment, Washington, DC.
- Marklund, L.G. and Schoene, D. 2006 Global assessment of growing stock, biomass and carbon stock. Forest Resources Assessment Programme Working paper 106/E, Rome.
- Massai, L. 2007 European Climate Policy Dossier. T.M.C. Asser Institute, The Hague, NL. 57p.
- Mather, A. 1992 The Forest Transition. *Area* 24 (4): 367-379.
- M-Co Consulting 2008 Review and assessment of options for reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries. Government of New Zealand, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Wellington.
- Meijaard, E., Sheil, D., Nasi, R., Augeri, D., Rosenbaum, B., Iskandar, D., Setyawati, T., Lammertink, M., Rachmatika, I., Wong, A., Soehartono, T., Stanley, S. And O'Brien, T. 2005 Life after logging: Reconciling wildlife conservation and production forestry in Indonesian Borneo. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia. http://www.cifor.cgiar.org/publications/pdf_files/books/BMeijaard0501E0.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Miles, L., Kapos, V., Lysenko, I. and Campbell, A. 2008 Mapping vulnerability of tropical forest to conversion, and resulting CO₂ emissions: A rapid assessment for the Eliasch review. UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre. [http://www.occ.gov.uk/activities/eliasch/UNEP_WCMC_mapping_vulnerability_of_tropical_forest\(1\).pdf](http://www.occ.gov.uk/activities/eliasch/UNEP_WCMC_mapping_vulnerability_of_tropical_forest(1).pdf) (25 Nov. 2008).
- Mollicone, D., Achard, F., Federici, S., Eva, H.D., Grassi, G., Belward, A., Raes, F., Seufert, G., Stibig, H.J., Matteucci, G. and Schulze E.D. 2007 An incentive mechanism for reducing emissions from conversion of intact to non-intact forests. *Climate Change* 83 (4): 477-493.
- Motel, P.C., Pirard, R. and Combes, J.L. 2008 A methodology to estimate impacts of domestic policies on deforestation: Compensated successful efforts for 'avoided deforestation' (REDD). *Ecological Economics* (forthcoming).
- Moura-Costa, P. and Wilson, C. 2000 An equivalence factor between CO₂ avoided emissions and sequestration: Description and applications in forestry. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 5 (1): 51-60.
- Muller, A. 2007 How to make the clean development mechanism sustainable – the potential of rent extraction. *Energy Policy* 35 (6): 3203-3212.
- Murphy, P.G. and Lugo, A.E. 1986 Ecology of tropical dry forest. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 17: 67-68.

- Murray, B.C. 2008 Leakage from an avoided deforestation compensation policy: Concepts, empirical evidence, and corrective policy options. Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions, Duke University, Durham, NC. 32p.
- Nepstad, D. 2007 The Amazon's vicious cycles: Drought and fire in the greenhouse. WWF Report. http://assets.panda.org/downloads/amazonas_eng_04_12b_web.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Nepstad, D., Soares-Filho, B., Merry, F., Moutinho, P., Oliveira Rodrigues, H., Bowman, M., Schwartzman, S., Almeida, O. and Rivero, S. 2007 The costs and benefits of reducing deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. The Woods Hole Research Center, Woods Hole, MA.
- Obersteiner M., Azar Ch., Kauppi P., Möllersten K., Moreira J., Nilsson S., Read P., Riahi K., Schlamadinger B., Yamagata Y., Yan J. and van Ypersele J.-P. 2001. Managing climate risk. *Science* 294 (5543): 786-787.
- OECD (Office of Economic Co-operation and Development) 2005 Paris declaration on aid effectiveness: Ownership, harmonisation, alignment, results and mutual accountability. OECD, Paris. www.oecd.org/dataoecd/11/41/34428351.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Ogonowski, M., Helme, N., Movius, D. and Schmidt, J. 2007 Reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation: The dual markets approach. International Future Action Dialogue. Center for Clean Air Policy, Washington, DC.
- Olander, L.P., Gibbs, H.K., Steininger, M., Swenson, J.J. and Murray, B.C. 2008 Reference scenarios for deforestation and forest degradation in support of REDD: a review of data and methods. *Environmental Research Letters* 3 (2008): 025011. http://www.iop.org/EJ/article/1748-9326/3/2/025011/erl8_2_025011.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Pearce, F. 2007 Save the climate by saving the forest. *New Scientist*, 22 March 2008.
- Pearson T., Harris N., Shock D., Pandey D. and S. Brown. 2008. Estimation of carbon stocks. Chapter 4 in: GOFC-GOLD. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries: a sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring, measuring and reporting, GOFC-GOLD Report version COP13-2, GOFC-GOLD Project Office, Natural Resources Canada, Alberta, Canada.
- Pedroni, L., Streck, C., Estrada, M. and Dutschke, M. 2007 The 'Nested Approach': A flexible mechanism to reduce emissions from deforestation. CATIE, Turrialba, Costa Rica.
- Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. and Wagner, F. 2003 Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme and Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Kanagawa, Japan. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf/gpglulucf_contents.htm (25 Nov. 2008).

- Penman, J. 2008 An exploration by the EU on methodological issues relating to reducing emissions from forest degradation in developing countries. UNFCCC Informal Meeting of Experts, Bonn, 20-21 October 2008. http://unfccc.int/methods_science/redd/items/4579.php (25 Nov. 2008).
- Peskett, L., Huberman, D., Bowen-Jones, E., Edwards, G. and Brown, J. 2008 Making REDD work for the poor. IUCN/ODI for the Poverty and Environment Partnership, Gland.
- Petley, S. 2007 Forest backed securities: Alternative finance for tropical natural forest. Presentation to the Asia-Pacific Tropical Forest Investment Forum, August, 2007. www.itto.or.jp/live/Live_Server/3289/PetleyITTOBangkokREV.JG.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Piris-Cabezas, P. and Keohane, N. 2008 Reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation in developing countries (REDD): Implications for the carbon market. Environmental Defense Fund, Washington, DC. 13p. http://www.climaedesmatamento.org.br/files/general/EDF_Analysis_of_REDD_in_the_carbon_market_061808.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Rao, S. and Riahi, K. 2006 The role of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases in climate change mitigation: Long-term scenarios for the 21st Century, multi-gas mitigation and climate policy. *Energy Journal* 27 (3): 177-200.
- Riahi, K., Grubler, A. and Nakicenovic, N. 2006 Scenarios of long-term socio-economic and environmental development under climate stabilisation. *Technological Forecasting and Change* 74: 8-9.
- Rights and Resources Initiative, 2008. Foundations for effectiveness. Policy brief prepared by RRI and RFN in preparation for the International Conference on Rights, Forests and Climate Change, Oslo, October 15-17, 2008.
- Rio Declaration, UN Doc. A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I); 31 ILM 874 (1992).
- Robertson, N. and Wunder, S. 2005 Fresh tracks in the forest: Assessing incipient payments for environmental services initiatives in Bolivia. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia. 137p. http://www.cifor.cgiar.org/pes/publications/pdf_files/BRobertson0501.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Rose, S., Helal, A., Eickhout, B., Fisher, B., Kurosawa, A., Rao, S., Riahi, K. and van Vuuren, D. 2007 Land in climate stabilization modeling: Initial observations. *Energy Modeling Forum Report*, Stanford University.
- Roy, D.P., Jin, Y., Lewis, P.E. and Justice, C.O. 2005 Prototyping a global algorithm for systematic fire-affected area mapping using MODIS time-series data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 97 (2): 137-162.
- Rudel, T.K., Coomes, O.T, Moran, E., Achard, F., Angelsen, A., Jianchu Xu and Lambin, E. 2005 Forest transitions: Towards a global understanding of land use change. *Global Environmental Change* 15 (1): 23-31.
- Santilli, M., Moutinho, P., Schwartzman, S., Nepstad, D., Curran, L. and Nobre, C. 2005 Tropical deforestation and the Kyoto Protocol. *Climatic Change* 71 (3): 267-276.

- Sathaye, J. and Andrasko, K. 2007 Special issue on estimation of baselines and leakage in carbon mitigation forestry projects. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12 (6): 963-970.
- Schelhas, J. and Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A. 2006 Post-frontier forest change adjacent to Braulio Carrillo National Park, Costa Rica. *Human Ecology* 34 (3): 407-431.
- Schlamadinger, B., Ciccacese, L., Dutschke, M., Fearnside, P.M., Brown, S. and Murdiyarso, D. 2005 Should we include avoidance of deforestation in the international response to climate change? *In: Carbon forestry: Who will benefit?* Murdiyarso, D. and Herawati, H. (eds.) CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Schlamadinger, B. and Johns, T. 2006 Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation: Latest developments. *Climate Change Mitigation Measures in the Agro-Forestry Sector and Biodiversity Futures, Trieste / IT, ICTP.*
- Schlamadinger, B., Bird, N., Johns, T., Brown, S., Canadell, J. Ciccacese, L., Dutschke, M., Fiedler, J., Fischlin, A., Fearnside, P., Forner, C., Freibauer, A., Frumhoff, P., Hoehne, N., Kirschbaum, M.U.F., Labat, A., Michaelowa, A., Montanarella, L., Moutinho, P. Murdiyarso, D., Pena, N., Pingoud, K., Rakonczay, Z., Rametsteiner, E., Rock, J., Sanz, M.J., Schneider, U.A., Shvidenko, A., Skutsch, M., Smith, P., Somogyi, Z., Trines, E., Ward, M. and Yamagata, Y. 2007 A synopsis of land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) under the Kyoto Protocol and Marrakech Accords. *Environmental Science and Policy* 10 (4): 271-282.
- Scholz, I. and Schmidt, L. 2008 Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries: Meeting the main challenges ahead. German Development Institute (DIE) Briefing Paper (preliminary version). <http://www.illegal-logging.info/uploads/GermanDevInstREDD.pdf> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Schwarze, R., Niles, J.O. and Olander, J. 2002 Understanding and managing leakage in forest-based greenhouse gas mitigation projects. TNC, Arlington.
- Seymour, F. (forthcoming) Forests, climate change, and human rights: Managing risks and trade-offs. *In: Humphreys, S. (ed.) Human rights and climate change.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Skutsch, M. and Trines, E. 2008 Operationalising reduced degradation within REDD. Policy Paper No.2: Kyoto: Think Globally Act Locally project. http://www.communitycarbonforestry.org/resources_Pub08.htm (25 Nov. 2008).
- Sohngen, B. and Brown, S. 2004 Measuring leakage from carbon projects in open economies: a stop timber harvesting project in Bolivia as a case study. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research* 34 (4): 829-839.
- Stern, N. 2006 *Stern Review: The economics of climate change.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- Stern, N. 2008 Key elements of a global deal on climate change. London School of Economics and Political Science, London. 56p.
- Strassburg, B., Turner, K., Fisher, B., Schaeffer, R. and Lovett, A. 2008 An empirically-derived mechanism of combined incentives to reduce emissions from deforestation. *In: CSERGE Working Paper ECM 08-01*. Centre for Social and Economic Research on the Global Environment (CSERGE), University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK.
- Subak, S. 2003 Replacing carbon lost from forests: an assessment of insurance, reserves, and expiring credits. *Climate Policy* 3 (2): 107-122.
- Sunderlin, W., Hatcher, J. and Liddle, M. 2008 From exclusion to ownership? Challenge and opportunities in advancing forest tenure reform. Rights and Resources Initiative, Washington, DC. <http://www.rightsandresources.org/documents/index.php?pubID=736> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Tavoni, M., Sohngen, B. and Bosetti, V. 2007 Forestry and the carbon market response to stabilize climate. *Energy Policy* 35 (11): 5346-5353.
- Terrestrial Carbon Group 2008 How to include terrestrial carbon in developing countries in the overall climate change solution. Draft, 8 August.
- Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat. Ramsar (Iran), 2 February 1971. UN Treaty Series No. 14583.
- Trines, E., Höhne, N., Jung, M., Skutsch, M., Petsonk, A., Silva-Chavez, G., Smith, P., Nabuurs, G., Verweij and P. Schlamadinger, B. 2006 Integrating agriculture, forestry and other land use in future climate regimes. Methodological issues and policy options. Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Bilthoven.
- Tutin, C.E.G. and Fernandez, M. 1985 Foods consumed by sympatric populations of *Gorilla g. gorilla* and *Pan t. troglodytes* in Gabon: Some preliminary data. *International Journal of Primatology* 6 (1): 27-43.
- Tuvalu (Government of) 2007 Submission from Tuvalu *In: Reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries: Approaches to stimulate action*. Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, Twenty-seventh session, Bali, 3-11 December 2007. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2007/sbsta/eng/misc14a03.pdf> (25 Nov. 2008).
- Underdal, A. 2002 One question, two answers. *In: Miles, E.L., Underdal, A., Andersen, S., Wettestad, J., Skærseth, J.B. and Carlin, E.M. (eds.) Environmental regime effectiveness. Confronting theory with evidence*. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, GA Res. 61/295, U.N. Doc. A/RES/61/295 (13 Sept. 2007), 46 I.L.M. 1013 (2007).
- United Nations Forum on Forests (UNFF) Non-legally binding instrument on all types of forests, E/2007/42.
- UN Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters, 2161 UNTS 447; 38 ILM 517 (1999).

- United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, 1954 UNTS 3; 33 ILM 1328 (1994).
- UNFCCC 2007 Investment and financial flows to address climate change. UNFCCC, Bonn.
- UNFCCC 2007c Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, Twenty-seventh session, Bali, Indonesia, 3-11 December 2007.
- UNFCCC 2008a Views on outstanding methodological issues related to policy approaches and positive incentives to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries. Advanced version. SBSTA Misc. for 28th session. Bonn, 4-13 June.
- UNFCCC 2008b Informal meeting of experts on methodological issues relating to reducing emissions from forest degradation in developing countries. Bonn, 20-21 October.
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, GA Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc A/810 at 71 (1948).
- Ward, M., CWard, M., Strect, C., Winkler, H. Jung, M., Hagemann, M., Höhne, N., and O'Sullivan, R. 2008 The role of sector no-lose targets in scaling up finance for climate change mitigation activities in developing countries. International Climate Division, Dept. of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DERFA), United Kingdom.
- Watson, R.T., Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Noble, I.R., Bolin, B. 2000 Land use, land-use change, and forestry: A special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 377p.
- Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S. 2008 Cost-effective methods for monitoring forest cover changes and associated CO₂ emissions for REDD. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia, International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED), London, UK and World Resources Institute (WRI), Washington, D.C., USA.
- WHRC (Woods Hole Research Center) and IPAM (Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazonia) 2008 How to distribute REDD funds across countries? A stock-flow mechanism. Joint submission to the UNFCCC regarding AWG-LCA (FCCC/AWGLCA/2008/L.7), 30 September.
- Winrock. 2002 Analysis of leakage, baselines, and carbon benefits for the Noel Kempff Climate Action Project. 45. EcoSecurities Ltd., Sylvan Acres, Geographic Modelling Services.
- Wittemyer, G., Elsen, P., Bean, W.T., Coleman, A., Burton, O. and Brashares, J.S. 2008 Accelerated human population growth at protected area edges. *Science* 321 (5885): 123-126.
- Wong, J. and Dutschke, M. 2003 Can permanence be insured? Consideration of some technical and practical issues of insuring carbon credits from afforestation and reforestation. HWWA Discussion Paper 235. 17p.
- World Bank 2004 Sustaining forests: A development strategy. World Bank, Washington, DC. <http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTFORESTS/Resources/SustainingForests.pdf> (25 Nov. 2008).

- World Bank 2008 Climate investment funds: Mapping of existing and emerging sources of forest financing (CIF/FDM.1/2, October 7, 2008). First design meeting on the forest investment program, Washington, DC, October 16-17. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTCC/Resources/Mapping_study_Final_for_FIP_Design_Meeting_Oct_16-17_08.pdf (25 Nov. 2008).
- Wu, J.J. 2000 Slippage effects of the Conservation Reserve Program. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 82 (4): 979-992.